PLASU Journal of Religion, Culture and Humanities
Vol: 2 No. 2. July, 2026

YOU CAN RETURN, BUT NEVER TO TIME: RECONFIGURING ἘΞΑΓΟΡΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΙ ΤὸΝ ΚΑΙΡΟΝ (EPHESIANS 5:16) THROUGH A PAULINE THEOLOGY OF KAIROTIC IRREVERSIBILITY

BITRUS NUHU, PhD.
Adeleke University, Ede
gentleh2h@gmail.com,
RAHILLA BOYI
rehilakiya@gmail.com
GWOM, MOSES YAKUBU
gwomeh@gmail.com.

Abstract
The popular aphorism, "You can return to a place but never to time," captures an existential reality that resonates profoundly with the Pauline injunction to be "redeeming the time" (ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι ηὸν καιπόν) in Ephesians 5:16. Despite extensive scholarship on Pauline ethics, comparatively little attention has been devoted to the theological implications of time's irreversibility as an interpretive lens for this exhortation. This study argues that Paul's command is not primarily a call to efficient time management but a summons to faithful participation in God's redemptive purposes through discerning and appropriating divinely appointed moments (kairoi). Employing a historical-grammatical and socio-rhetorical exegesis of Ephesians 5:15–17, complemented by lexical analysis of ἐξαγοπάζω and καιπόρ and a biblical-theological synthesis of Pauline temporality, the article reconfigures Ephesians 5:16 as a theology of ***kairotic irreversibility****. It contends that Paul presents time not as a neutral chronological sequence (chronos) but as a succession of morally charged, eschatologically significant opportunities that, once neglected, cannot be recovered. Consequently, redeeming the kairos entails discerning God's salvific activity within the present age and responding with wisdom, obedience, and missional fidelity amid an ethically compromised world. By integrating Pauline ethics, temporal ontology, and eschatological theology, the study introduces kairotic irreversibility as a constructive hermeneutical framework for interpreting Ephesians 5:16. It thereby advances contemporary Pauline scholarship by demonstrating that the apostle's theology of time concerns not the recovery of lost moments but the faithful stewardship of irrevocable opportunities within the unfolding economy of God's redemptive history.*
Keywords: Ephesians 5:16, Kairos, Chronos, Kairotic Irreversibility, Pauline Theology, Temporal Ontology and Temporal Ontology.

Introduction

The observation that one may return to a place but never to the same moment in time captures a fundamental dimension of human temporality: while spatial movement permits revisitation, temporal existence is intrinsically irreversible. This existential reality raises profound hermeneutical questions when read alongside Paul's exhortation to be "redeeming the time"

(ἐξαγοπαδόμενοι τὸν καιπόν) in Ephesians 5:16.[2] Rather than functioning as a proverbial appeal to prudent time management, the imperative is embedded within Paul's ethical vision of wisdom, discernment, and eschatological responsibility in an age characterized by moral disorder (Eph. 5:15–17).[3] The text therefore invites renewed reflection on the theological significance of time, opportunity, and human agency within the unfolding economy of God's redemptive purposes.

Although Ephesians 5:16 has received sustained attention within Pauline ethics and paraenesis, scholarly discussion has largely concentrated on ethical conduct, wisdom traditions, or the contrast between *chronos* and *kairos*.[4] Comparatively little attention has been devoted to the notion of the irreversibility of kairotic opportunity as a theological category capable of illuminating Paul's exhortation. Consequently, the relationship between temporal finitude, moral responsibility, and eschatological urgency remains insufficiently integrated within contemporary interpretations of the passage.

This article argues that Ephesians 5:16 should be reinterpreted through a Pauline theology of **kairotic irreversibility**, according to which *kairos* denotes not merely time in a qualitative sense but divinely appointed opportunities whose ethical significance is irrecoverable once neglected. Employing historical-grammatical exegesis, lexical analysis, and biblical-theological synthesis, the study contends that redeeming the *kairos* is neither the recovery of lost time nor an appeal to personal productivity, but a summons to discern and faithfully appropriate irrevocable moments within God's eschatological economy. By introducing **kairotic irreversibility** as a constructive hermeneutical framework, the article seeks to contribute to contemporary Pauline scholarship on temporality, ethics, and theological anthropology.

Conceptual and Theoretical Foundations

a. Time in Biblical Thought: *Chronos* and *Kairos*

Biblical conceptions of time transcend the merely quantitative measurement of temporal succession and instead present time as a theological category through which God's purposes are disclosed within history. While the New Testament employs both *σπόνορ* (*chronos*) and *καιπόρ* (*kairos*), the distinction is primarily functional rather than absolute. *Chronos* ordinarily denotes measurable or sequential duration, whereas *kairos* signifies a decisive, qualitative, or

[2] Ernest Best, *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Ephesians*, International Critical Commentary (Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1998), 501–509; Frank Thielman, *Ephesians*, Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2010), 365–372.

[3] Andrew T. Lincoln, *Ephesians*, Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 42 (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2017 reprint), 338–346; Lynn H. Cohick, *The Letter to the Ephesians*, New International Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2020), 344–353.

[4] Nijay K. Gupta, *Ephesians*, Story of God Bible Commentary (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2023), 188–194; Clinton E. Arnold, *Ephesians*, Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2024), 405–414.

divinely appointed moment demanding discernment and response.[5] This distinction is particularly significant in Pauline literature, where temporal language frequently reflects the tension between the present age and the eschatological fulfilment inaugurated in Christ.[6] Consequently, Ephesians 5:16 does not merely exhort believers to utilise chronological time efficiently but calls them to recognise and appropriate God's redemptive opportunities within the moral exigencies of the present age.

The imperative *ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι ηὸν καιπόν* therefore derives its theological force from the nature of *kairos* itself. Unlike chronological duration, which proceeds irrespective of human response, *kairos* confronts individuals with moments of ethical and theological significance whose value lies precisely in their transience. Such moments demand discernment because they participate in God's unfolding redemptive economy and, once neglected, cannot simply be recreated.[7]

b. Irreversible Temporality and Temporal Ontology

The irreversibility of time constitutes one of the most enduring themes in philosophical and theological reflection. Human existence unfolds within a temporal order in which every decision simultaneously opens future possibilities while permanently closing others. Temporality is therefore neither cyclical repetition nor recoverable succession but an irreversible movement through which moral responsibility acquires existential significance.[8] Within biblical theology, this understanding is intensified by the conviction that history progresses teleologically towards God's eschatological consummation rather than recurring through endless cycles.[9]

Paul's ethical exhortations presuppose precisely such an ontology of temporality. His paraenesis consistently assumes that believers inhabit the overlap of the present evil age and the inaugurated new creation, where every moment bears eschatological consequence (cf. Rom. 13:11–14; 1 Cor. 7:29–31; Gal. 6:10). Time, therefore, is not a neutral container within which ethical decisions occur but the divinely ordered sphere in which covenantal obedience is enacted and God's salvific purposes are discerned. The urgency of Ephesians 5:16 thus

[5] Moisés Silva, ed., *New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology and Exegesis*, 2nd ed., vol. 2 (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2014), 618–626; Ceslas Spicq, *Theological Lexicon of the New Testament*, trans. James D. Ernest, vol. 2 (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994), 253–259.

[6] Frank Thielman, *Ephesians*, Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2010), 365–372; Lynn H. Cohick, *The Letter to the Ephesians*, New International Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2020), 344–353.

[7] Clinton E. Arnold, *Ephesians*, Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2024), 405–414; Nijay K. Gupta, *Ephesians*, Story of God Bible Commentary (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2023), 188–194.

[8] Paul Ricoeur, *Time and Narrative*, vol. 1, trans. Kathleen McLaughlin and David Pellauer (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1984), 52–87; Martin Heidegger, *Being and Time*, trans. John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson (Oxford: Blackwell, 1962), 372–380.

[9] Oscar Cullmann, *Christ and Time: The Primitive Christian Conception of Time*, rev. ed. (London: SCM Press, 1962), 37–84; N. T. Wright, *Paul and the Faithfulness of God* (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2013), 1299–1318.

emerges not from anxiety over passing hours but from the recognition that opportunities embedded within salvation history are intrinsically irreversible.[10]

c. Defining *Kairotic Irreversibility*

Building upon these theological and temporal categories, this study proposes the concept of **kairotic irreversibility** as a constructive hermeneutical framework for interpreting Ephesians 5:16. The term denotes the theological principle that divinely appointed opportunities possess an irreversible moral and eschatological character: once such moments have passed, their precise covenantal significance cannot be retrieved, even though divine grace continues to provide fresh occasions for faithful obedience. The concept therefore distinguishes between the continuity of God's redemptive activity and the non-repeatability of particular moments within that activity.

Accordingly, *kairotic irreversibility* should not be confused with fatalism or determinism. Rather, it recognises that Paul's exhortation assumes both divine sovereignty and human responsibility. Redeeming the *kairos* is neither an attempt to reclaim elapsed chronological time nor an appeal to maximise productivity; it is an ethical summons to discern God's decisive activity within history and to respond faithfully before each opportunity passes into irrecoverable history. Read in this way, Ephesians 5:16 articulates a theology in which temporal finitude becomes the very context within which wisdom, obedience, and eschatological hope converge.[11]

Exegetical Analysis of Ephesians 5:15–17

a. Literary and Socio-Historical Context

Ephesians 5:15–17 forms part of the epistle's broader ethical paraenesis (4:1–6:20), where the theological realities established in chapters 1–3 are translated into patterns of communal conduct. The passage belongs to a sequence of exhortations contrasting the former existence of believers with their new identity "in the Lord" (5:8), culminating in an appeal to walk wisely amid an environment characterised by moral corruption and spiritual opposition.[12] The injunction to "redeem the time" (5:16) is therefore inseparable from Paul's concern for ethical discernment and covenantal fidelity within an age described as intrinsically evil (ὅτι αἱ ἡμέραι πονεραί εἰσιν).

The literary structure reinforces this emphasis. The participial expression *ἐξαγοραζόμενοι τὸν καιρόν* modifies the imperative βλέπετε ("pay careful attention"), explaining how wisdom is practically embodied. The surrounding imperatives walking wisely, understanding the Lord's

[10] Michael J. Gorman, *Participating in Christ: Explorations in Paul's Theology and Spirituality* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2019), 123–140; Douglas J. Moo, *A Theology of Paul and His Letters* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2021), 472–489.
[11] Craig S. Keener, *The Mind of the Spirit: Paul's Approach to Transformed Thinking* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2016), 235–247; Michael J. Gorman, *Participating in Christ*, 135–140.
[12] Andrew T. Lincoln, *Ephesians*, Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 42 (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2017 reprint), 334–346; Lynn H. Cohick, *The Letter to the Ephesians*, New International Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2020), 341–353.

will, and rejecting foolishness, demonstrate that Paul's concern extends beyond efficient temporal management to the cultivation of theological discernment within a hostile socio-moral context.[13] Consequently, the exhortation is fundamentally ethical and eschatological rather than merely pragmatic.

b. Lexical Analysis of *ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι* and *καιπόρ*

The participle *ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι* derives from the verb *ἐξαγοπάζω*, literally meaning "to buy out," "to purchase completely," or "to acquire from the marketplace." While the verb elsewhere denotes redemptive deliverance through Christ's salvific work (Gal. 3:13; 4:5), its metaphorical use in Ephesians 5:16 and Colossians 4:5 shifts towards the intentional appropriation of opportunity.[14] The commercial imagery should not be interpreted as suggesting that believers can purchase or recover elapsed time. Rather, it conveys the urgency of recognising opportunities whose value demands immediate and decisive action.

Similarly, *καιπόρ* refers not simply to chronological duration but to a decisive or appropriate moment characterised by qualitative significance. Unlike *σπόνορ*, which ordinarily denotes measurable temporal succession, *καιπόρ* identifies moments invested with theological, ethical, or eschatological importance.[15] Paul's lexical choice is therefore deliberate. Had the apostle intended merely to advocate efficient management of chronological time, *σπόνορ* would have been the more natural expression. Instead, *καιπόρ* directs attention to moments in which divine purpose intersects with human responsibility.

Read together, *ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι* and *καιπόρ* communicate an active appropriation of divinely appointed opportunities. The emphasis lies neither on recovering what has already passed nor on maximising productivity, but on responding wisely to moments whose significance is determined by God's redemptive activity.

Syntactical and Theological Implications

Syntactically, *ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι ηὸν καιπόν* functions as an attendant participial construction explaining the manner in which believers are to "walk carefully." The participle is dependent upon the principal imperative βλέπετε, indicating that redeeming the *kairos* is not an isolated command but an essential expression of Christian wisdom.[16] The immediately following causal clause "because the days are evil" (ὅτι αἱ ἡμέπαι πονεπαί εἰσιν) provides the theological

[13] Frank Thielman, *Ephesians*, Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2010), 363–372; Clinton E. Arnold, *Ephesians*, Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2024), 401–414.

[14] William Arndt, Frederick W. Danker, Walter Bauer, and F. Wilbur Gingrich, *A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000), 345; Ceslas Spicq, *Theological Lexicon of the New Testament*, trans. James D. Ernest, vol. 1 (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994), 516–520.

[15] Moisés Silva, ed., *New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology and Exegesis*, 2nd ed., vol. 2 (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2014), 618–626; Constantine R. Campbell, *Advances in the Study of Greek: New Insights for Reading the New Testament* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2015), 74–84.

[16] Daniel B. Wallace, *Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics: An Exegetical Syntax of the New Testament* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1996), 640–645; Harold W. Hoehner, *Ephesians: An Exegetical Commentary* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2002), 702–710.

rationale for the exhortation. Paul's concern is therefore conditioned by the ethical realities of the present age rather than by the scarcity of chronological time itself.

This syntactical relationship reveals a coherent theological progression. Wisdom requires vigilance; vigilance manifests itself through discerning and appropriating God-given opportunities; such discernment becomes necessary because believers live within an eschatological tension in which evil continues to characterise the present order even as God's redemptive purposes advance through Christ. Consequently, the imperative assumes that every decisive moment bears theological consequence, reinforcing the argument advanced in this study that Paul's conception of *kairos* presupposes temporal irreversibility rather than temporal recoverability.

The Relationship between Wisdom, Discernment, and Time

The exhortation reaches its theological climax in verse 17: "Therefore do not be foolish, but understand what the will of the Lord is." Wisdom (*ζοθία*) and understanding (*ζςνίημι*) thus function as complementary categories through which believers discern the significance of the *kairos*. In Pauline ethics, wisdom is neither speculative knowledge nor philosophical abstraction but the practical capacity to interpret reality according to God's redemptive purposes.[17] Discernment transforms temporal existence into a sphere of faithful obedience because it recognises opportunities as moments requiring covenantal response.

The close association between wisdom and *kairos* also demonstrates that time possesses moral texture. Opportunities become meaningful not simply because they occur but because they confront individuals with decisions that participate in God's salvific economy. Every neglected *kairos* therefore represents not merely the passage of chronological time but the forfeiture of a unique occasion for obedience that cannot be replicated in precisely the same historical configuration. Within this framework, redeeming the *kairos* signifies discerning the Lord's will in the present moment and responding before that opportunity passes irretrievably into history. Paul's exhortation thus establishes an ethical theology of temporality in which wisdom, discernment, and eschatological responsibility converge.

Reconfiguring Ephesians 5:16 through a Pauline Theology of Kairotic Irreversibility

a. Paul's Theology of Opportunity

Paul's exhortation to "redeem the *kairos*" is best understood within the broader framework of his theology of divine opportunity. Throughout his letters, *kairos* denotes more than favourable circumstances; it signifies moments in which God's salvific purposes intersect decisively with human agency. Whether describing "the acceptable time" (*καιπὸρ εὐππόζδεκηορ*; 2 Cor. 6:2), opportunities for beneficence (Gal. 6:10), or occasions for gospel proclamation (Col. 4:5), Paul consistently portrays *kairos* as a sphere of covenantal responsibility rather than chronological

[17] Nijay K. Gupta, *Ephesians*, Story of God Bible Commentary (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2023), 194–198; Michael J. Gorman, *Apostle of the Crucified Lord: A Theological Introduction to Paul and His Letters*, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2024), 421–428.

duration.[18] Such moments are not self-generated but emerge from God's providential ordering of history, demanding discernment and faithful participation.

This perspective challenges interpretations that reduce Ephesians 5:16 to an ethic of efficient time management. Paul's concern is neither productivity nor the maximisation of chronological hours but responsiveness to the redemptive initiatives of God within history. Accordingly, redeeming the *kairos* signifies appropriating opportunities whose theological significance derives from divine action rather than human planning. Opportunity, in Pauline thought, is therefore fundamentally theological before it is practical.

b. Eschatological Urgency and Ethical Responsibility

Paul's conception of opportunity is inseparable from his inaugurated eschatology. Believers exist within the tension between the present evil age and the inaugurated reign of Christ, a temporal overlap in which every ethical decision acquires eschatological significance.[19] The declaration that "the days are evil" (Eph. 5:16) does not merely describe moral decline but identifies the continuing presence of powers hostile to God's kingdom, thereby intensifying the necessity of vigilant obedience.

Within this framework, urgency is theological rather than psychological. Paul does not encourage haste because chronological time is diminishing, but because history is moving towards its divinely appointed consummation. Every *kairos* becomes an arena in which the believer's participation in God's redemptive mission is either embraced or neglected. Ethical responsibility thus emerges from the convergence of divine sovereignty and human agency. God's sovereign direction of history neither nullifies nor diminishes human responsibility; rather, it establishes the very context within which faithful discernment becomes indispensable. Redeeming the *kairos* is therefore an act of eschatological participation whereby believers embody the values of the coming kingdom within the realities of the present age.

c. Irrecoverable Moments and Christian Vocation

The present study proposes that Paul's theology implicitly affirms what may be described as **kairotic irreversibility**: the conviction that divinely appointed opportunities possess a historically unique and non-repeatable character. Although God's grace continually extends new possibilities for faithful obedience, the particular constellation of circumstances constituting each *kairos* cannot be reproduced once it has passed. Consequently, temporal succession entails not merely chronological progression but the irreversible loss of specific opportunities for covenantal response.

This understanding significantly enriches Pauline conceptions of vocation. Christian calling is not restricted to static vocational identities but unfolds through successive moments requiring

[18] Douglas J. Moo, *A Theology of Paul and His Letters* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2021), 474–482; N. T. Wright, *Paul and the Faithfulness of God* (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2013), 1310–1322.
[19] Michael J. Gorman, *Apostle of the Crucified Lord: A Theological Introduction to Paul and His Letters*, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2024), 401–428; Thomas R. Schreiner, *Paul, Apostle of God's Glory in Christ: A Pauline Theology*, 2nd ed. (Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2024), 495–517.

faithful discernment within concrete historical circumstances. The believer's vocation is therefore temporal as well as theological. Every decisive moment constitutes an invitation to participate in God's ongoing redemptive work, and each neglected *kairos* represents not merely an unrealised possibility but the forfeiture of a unique occasion whose historical significance cannot be reclaimed. Paul's ethical vision consequently transforms temporality into a sphere of covenantal stewardship in which obedience is measured not only by correct action but also by timely action.

d. Redeeming Time versus Recovering Lost Time

Perhaps the most pervasive misunderstanding of Ephesians 5:16 is the assumption that Paul exhorts believers to recover time already lost. Such an interpretation imposes contemporary notions of productivity upon a text whose theological horizon is fundamentally eschatological. Chronological time (*chronos*) cannot be retrieved, reversed, or reconstructed. The apostle neither suggests nor implies that elapsed time may somehow be reclaimed through intensified effort. Instead, the metaphor of *ἐξαγοπάζω* directs attention to the active appropriation of opportunities as they present themselves within God's providential economy.

The distinction is therefore decisive. **Recovering lost time** presupposes the reversibility of temporal succession, whereas **redeeming the *kairos*** presupposes the irreversibility of history while affirming the believer's responsibility to respond faithfully within the present moment. The theological emphasis falls not upon repairing the past but upon discerning God's activity in the present before the opportunity passes irretrievably into history. This reading preserves both the lexical integrity of *ἐξαγοπάζω* and the eschatological orientation of Ephesians while providing a coherent framework for understanding Paul's ethics of temporality.

Accordingly, **kairotic irreversibility** emerges as the central theological contribution of this study. It explains why Paul's exhortation remains ethically urgent without collapsing into moralism or utilitarian time management. Time cannot be redeemed because it has been lost; rather, the *kairos* is redeemed because it is still present. Once neglected, however, that particular opportunity belongs irrevocably to history, leaving only the responsibility to discern the next divinely appointed occasion within God's unfolding redemptive economy.

Contemporary Hermeneutical and Ethical Implications

a. Christian Discipleship and Vocational Stewardship

The proposed theology of **kairotic irreversibility** invites a reconfiguration of Christian discipleship from an ethic of chronological efficiency to one of theological attentiveness. If *kairos* denotes divinely appointed opportunities rather than measurable temporal duration, then discipleship is fundamentally the practice of discerning and faithfully responding to God's activity within the contingencies of ordinary existence. Paul's exhortation in Ephesians 5:16 therefore transcends conventional notions of productivity by locating faithful living within the dynamic intersection of divine initiative and human responsibility. Christian maturity is measured not by the quantity of accomplishments achieved over time but by the quality of

responsiveness to moments in which God's redemptive purposes become ethically demanding.[20]

This perspective also enriches the theological understanding of vocation. Christian vocation is neither a static occupational identity nor a once-for-all event but an ongoing participation in God's mission through successive *kairoi*. Every vocational responsibility whether exercised within ecclesial, academic, professional, or civic contexts becomes an occasion for faithful stewardship. Vocational stewardship therefore consists not merely in managing resources or abilities but in recognising that opportunities themselves constitute divine trusts whose significance is historically unique. Such an understanding transforms temporality into an integral dimension of vocation, where obedience is inseparable from timely discernment.

b. Leadership, Mission, and Decision-Making

Paul's theology of *kairos* likewise offers a significant framework for contemporary Christian leadership and mission. Leadership within the Pauline tradition is characterised not primarily by strategic efficiency or institutional success but by the capacity to discern God's purposes within changing historical circumstances. Consequently, leadership decisions should not be evaluated solely according to measurable outcomes but also according to their faithfulness to the demands of particular moments. The ethical quality of leadership depends upon recognising when decisive action is required and when delayed obedience may result in the irreversible loss of opportunities entrusted by God.[21]

Similarly, the Church's missionary vocation acquires renewed significance when interpreted through **kairotic irreversibility**. Mission unfolds within concrete historical situations that cannot simply be replicated once neglected. Social transitions, cultural transformations, humanitarian crises, technological developments, and emerging contexts for witness frequently constitute *kairoi* demanding theological discernment and faithful engagement. Paul's imperative to redeem the *kairos* therefore challenges ecclesial communities to cultivate interpretive wisdom capable of recognising the unique opportunities presented by each historical moment rather than relying exclusively upon inherited patterns of ministry. Such a perspective situates mission within God's unfolding redemptive economy while preserving the urgency of faithful action.

c. Pastoral and Theological Significance in Contemporary Contexts

The pastoral significance of Ephesians 5:16 emerges most clearly when the text is liberated from reductionistic interpretations centred on time management or personal productivity. Paul's concern is neither to burden believers with anxiety over elapsed time nor to encourage relentless activity. Rather, his exhortation directs attention to God's continuing redemptive

[20] Michael J. Gorman, *Apostle of the Crucified Lord: A Theological Introduction to Paul and His Letters*, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2024), 421–432; N. T. Wright, *Paul: A Biography* (New York: HarperOne, 2018), 357–374.
[21] Craig G. Bartholomew, *Contours of the Kuyperian Tradition: A Systematic Introduction* (Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2017), 289–310; Michael W. Goheen, *The Church and Its Vocation: Lesslie Newbigin's Missionary Ecclesiology* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2018), 171–196.

presence within history, where every moment presents the possibility of faithful participation. This theological orientation provides a constructive response to contemporary cultures frequently characterised by acceleration, distraction, and utilitarian conceptions of time.[22]

Hermeneutically, **kairotic irreversibility** also contributes to broader discussions concerning biblical temporality and theological anthropology. It demonstrates that Paul's understanding of time cannot be adequately explained through linear chronology alone but must be interpreted within the dynamic relationship between divine sovereignty, human agency, and eschatological fulfilment. Time becomes the arena in which covenantal fidelity is enacted, and opportunities assume ethical significance because they participate in God's redemptive purposes. Consequently, the enduring relevance of Ephesians 5:16 lies not in offering techniques for efficient living but in articulating a theological vision in which irreversible moments become occasions for discerning the will of God and embodying the values of the coming kingdom within the realities of the present age. Such a reading preserves the exegetical integrity of the Pauline text while extending its theological relevance to contemporary biblical interpretation, Christian ethics, and practical theology.

Conclusion

This study has argued that Ephesians 5:16 is more appropriately interpreted as a theology of **kairotic irreversibility** than as an exhortation to efficient time management. Through historical-grammatical exegesis, lexical analysis, and biblical-theological synthesis, it has demonstrated that Paul's injunction *ἐξαγοπαζόμενοι ηὸν καιπόν* concerns the faithful appropriation of divinely appointed opportunities rather than the recovery of elapsed chronological time. Situated within the broader literary context of Ephesians 5:15–17 and the apostle's eschatological worldview, the imperative emerges as a summons to discern God's redemptive activity within the present age and to respond with wisdom before decisive opportunities pass irretrievably into history. Accordingly, the popular distinction between "redeeming time" and "making better use of time" fails to capture the theological depth of Paul's ethical vision.

The principal theoretical contribution of this article lies in the formulation of **kairotic irreversibility** as a constructive hermeneutical category for Pauline studies. By distinguishing between *chronological succession* (*chronos*) and *divinely appointed opportunity* (*kairos*), the study has proposed that the irreversibility of historically unique moments constitutes an implicit yet significant dimension of Pauline ethics. This conceptual framework not only clarifies the theological logic of Ephesians 5:16 but also provides a coherent basis for integrating Pauline temporality, eschatological ethics, vocation, and theological anthropology. In so doing, the article extends existing discussions of Pauline conceptions of time beyond lexical distinctions to a more comprehensive account of temporality as the sphere of covenantal responsibility and faithful participation in God's redemptive economy.

[22] James K. A. Smith, *On the Road with Saint Augustine: A Real-World Spirituality for Restless Hearts* (Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2019), 87–103; Craig S. Keener, *The Mind of the Spirit: Paul's Approach to Transformed Thinking* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2016), 235–247.

The implications of **kairotic irreversibility** extend beyond the interpretation of Ephesians. Future research may investigate its relevance to other Pauline texts in which *kairos* functions as a theological category, particularly Romans 13:11–14, 2 Corinthians 6:2, Galatians 6:10, and Colossians 4:5. Comparative studies could further explore the relationship between Pauline temporality and Second Temple Jewish conceptions of sacred time, Greco-Roman philosophical reflections on temporality, and contemporary philosophical accounts of irreversible human existence. Interdisciplinary engagement with theological ethics, practical theology, and missiology may also illuminate how Paul's theology of opportunity informs Christian leadership, vocational discernment, ecclesial mission, and public witness in rapidly changing social contexts. Such investigations would further demonstrate that the apostle's exhortation to redeem the *kairos* remains a fertile locus for advancing contemporary scholarship on Pauline theology, biblical ethics, and the theology of time.

References

Arndt, William, Frederick W. Danker, Walter Bauer, and F. Wilbur Gingrich. *A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature*. 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000.

Arnold, Clinton E. *Ephesians*. Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament. 2nd ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2024.

Bartholomew, Craig G. *Contours of the Kuyperian Tradition: A Systematic Introduction*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2017.

Best, Ernest. *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Ephesians*. International Critical Commentary. Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1998.

Campbell, Constantine R. *Advances in the Study of Greek: New Insights for Reading the New Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2015.

Cohick, Lynn H. *The Letter to the Ephesians*. New International Commentary on the New Testament. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2020.

Cullmann, Oscar. *Christ and Time: The Primitive Christian Conception of Time*. Rev. ed. London: SCM Press, 1962.

Goheen, Michael W. *The Church and Its Vocation: Lesslie Newbigin's Missionary Ecclesiology*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2018.

Gorman, Michael J. *Apostle of the Crucified Lord: A Theological Introduction to Paul and His Letters*. 2nd ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2024.

. *Participating in Christ: Explorations in Paul's Theology and Spirituality*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2019.

Gupta, Nijay K. *Ephesians*. Story of God Bible Commentary. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2023.

Heidegger, Martin. *Being and Time*. Translated by John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson. Oxford: Blackwell, 1962.

Hoehner, Harold W. *Ephesians: An Exegetical Commentary*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2002.

Keener, Craig S. *The Mind of the Spirit: Paul's Approach to Transformed Thinking*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2016.

Lincoln, Andrew T. *Ephesians*. Word Biblical Commentary. Vol. 42. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2017.

Moo, Douglas J. *A Theology of Paul and His Letters*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Academic, 2021.

Ricoeur, Paul. *Time and Narrative*. Vol. 1. Translated by Kathleen McLaughlin and David Pellauer. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1984.

Schreiner, Thomas R. *Paul, Apostle of God's Glory in Christ: A Pauline Theology*. 2nd ed. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2024.

Silva, Moisés, ed. *New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology and Exegesis*. 2nd ed. Vol. 2. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2014.

Smith, James K. A. *On the Road with Saint Augustine: A Real-World Spirituality for Restless Hearts*. Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2019.

Spicq, Ceslas. *Theological Lexicon of the New Testament*. Translated by James D. Ernest. Vols. 1–3. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.

Thielman, Frank. *Ephesians*. Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2010.

Wallace, Daniel B. *Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics: An Exegetical Syntax of the New Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1996.

Wright, N. T. *Paul and the Faithfulness of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2013.

. *Paul: A Biography*. New York: HarperOne, 2018.